UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,246	08/28/2003	Frank Athari	IR-2311 (2-3643)	7190
2352 OSTROLENIZ	7590 08/22/2007 FABER GERB & SOFFEN	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUI	E OF THE AMERICAS	`	RUTLAND WALLIS, MICHAEL	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

T	H
$\overline{}$	•

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	7 1 1
10/650,246	ATHARI, FRANK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Rutland-Wallis	2836	

•	Michael Rutland-Wallis	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) \(\sum \) The period for reply expires \(\frac{3}{2} \) months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) \(\sum \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. 						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		100/->				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ow);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-13</u> .	·					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/650,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments to claim 11 have not been entered as Applicant has

failed to amend or correct the objected language. The objection in the Final Action

states (reproduced here for clarity)

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the primaries" in <u>lines 4 and 6</u>. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim and should be changed to "the primary

windings" or "the first and second primary windings

Applicant's proposed amendment fails to address the objected to language of claim 11

found in line 6. Therefore the proposed amendments do not place the claims in better

form for appeal.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant arguments are directed to the claim limitation "a power transistor switching

stage". Applicant cites the circuitry of Pelly does teach a power transistor switching

stage and such circuitry identified in the Final Action relevant to the above state

limitation does not satisfy this limitation. In Applicant's specification page 2 line 20,

Applicant states the "...a power transistor switching stage, for example a converter or..."

In Pelly, AC power is received and converted to DC via a switching stage as cited in the

Final Action. It remains position of the Office the cited structure is sufficient to teach the

limitation a power transistor switching stage.

In view of the above the rejection is maintained.

MICHAEL SHERRY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800